Adelphia Communications MB Docket No. 05-192 Response to FCC Request III.G.11

III. Video Programming

- G. Provide the current affiliation contracts, including all amendments, for each of the following Video Programming Networks:
 - 11. Fox News Channel

Response:

Adelphia's response to this Request is attached in pages III.G.11 00001-00038.

	REDACTED FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION
Pages III.	G.11 00001-00038 have been redacted in their entirety.

Adelphia Communications MB Docket No. 05-192 Response to FCC Request III.H

III. Video Programming

H. With reference to Applicants' Reply at pp. 106-107 and note 351, explain the process by which the Company makes decisions regarding the carriage of specific programming networks, in particular the extent to which carriage decisions are made at the corporate level and/or by individual System managers. Respond to allegations of The America Channel ("TAC") that some networks seeking carriage are given so-called "hunting licenses" authorizing them to negotiate for carriage with System managers, whereas networks affiliated with Comcast are given carriage "commitments" that do not require negotiations for carriage on individual Systems. (See TAC Petition to Deny at 40.)

Response:

The references made to the record in this Request specifically pertain to Comcast's process for making program carriage decisions. Based on these references, Adelphia believes that the Request only applies to Comcast. However, to the extent that the Request is seeking information about Adelphia's process for making program carriage decisions, Adelphia filed a letter on the record explaining its process and including a description of Adelphia's use of "hunting licenses." *See* Letter from Michael H. Hammer, Counsel for Adelphia Communications Corp. to Marlene Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission (Dec. 9, 2005) (filed in MB Dkt. 05-192).

Adelphia Communications MB Docket No. 05-192 Response to FCC Request III.I

III. Video Programming

I. Provide all documents relating to deliberations and decisions to distribute video programming via video-on-demand rather than as a video programming network.

Response:

Adelphia Communications MB Docket No. 05-192 Response to FCC Request III.J

III. Video Programming

J. Provide all Documents relating to deliberations and decisions to launch new Sports Programming Networks.

Response:

Adelphia Communications MB Docket No. 05-192 Response to FCC Request III.K

III. Video Programming

- K. With respect to the discussion of terrestrial delivery of programming in Applicants' Reply at pp. 53-54:
 - 1. identify the location and nature of the Comcast regional terrestrial networks referenced at p. 54 and explain why Comcast has chosen not to use them for the delivery of regional sports networks, as asserted in the Reply
 - 2. explain whether the regional terrestrial networks and the national fiber network referenced on p. 54 would be suitable for the delivery of programming networks to cable headends such that programming delivered on those networks would not be considered to be "satellite-delivered" for purposes of the Commission's program access rules.

Response:

Adelphia Communications MB Docket No. 05-192 Response to FCC Request IV.A

IV. Advanced Cable and Other Broadband Services

A. Provide all Documents relating to deliberations and decisions to stop, limit, hinder, slow, or otherwise impede the transmission of information over the Company's Residential High-speed Internet infrastructure based on the software application, source, destination, or other characteristic of the traffic. Documents regarding unsolicited commercial e-mail and malicious software need not be produced.

Response:

Adelphia Communications MB Docket No. 05-192 Response to FCC Request IV.B

- IV. Advanced Cable and Other Broadband Services
 - B. Provide a response to the allegations contained in the Petition to Deny of Free Press, et al., at pages 30-32 regarding the blocking of e-mail from afterdowningstreet.org.

Response:

Adelphia has never blocked any e-mails from afterdowningstreet.org and was not accused of doing so in the Petition to Deny of Free Press, et al. Therefore, Adelphia will not provide a response to this Request.

Adelphia Communications MB Docket No. 05-192 Response to FCC Request IV.C

- IV. Advanced Cable and Other Broadband Services
 - C. Describe any and all efforts the Company has undertaken, or plans to undertake, to comply with the Commission's November 28th VoIP 911 deadline. Explain in detail, as a technical matter, how exactly the Company intends to comply for each type of interconnected VoIP offered.

Response:

Adelphia Communications MB Docket No. 05-192 Response to FCC Request IV.D

- IV. Advanced Cable and Other Broadband Services
 - D. How does the Company typically access the 911 tandem or Wireline E911 Network as defined in the VoIP 911 order? Does the Company interconnect directly or indirectly?

Response:

Adelphia Communications MB Docket No. 05-192 Response to FCC Request IV.E

IV. Advanced Cable and Other Broadband Services

E. Is the Company's CPE (e.g., cable modem, MTA, softphone, etc.) capable of being used at locations other than its primary location? Is the Company's CPE capable of being used on other Cable Systems? If the answer to either of these questions is yes, please describe in detail how the Company intends to comply with the Commission's November 28th deadline given the nomadic capability of the Company's CPE.

Response:

Adelphia has never made IP telephony available on a widespread basis to its customers and has no plans to do so in the future. Between the dates of January 10, 2005 and October 11, 2005, Adelphia engaged in a limited trial of fixed VoIP services in the Colorado Springs, Colorado area (the service did not include any nomadic capability). The only participants in this trial were Adelphia employees. Despite the fact that there was no requirement that Adelphia comply with new VoIP E911 rules for this limited trial, on August 5, 2005, Adelphia mailed an informational package to participants addressing the service's E911 limitations and included a mandatory acknowledgment form of these limitations. The acknowledgements were due on August 10, 2005. On August 15, 2005, Adelphia mailed a second letter to all non-responding participants requesting a written acknowledgement that they understood the potential limitations of 911/E911 of the VoIP service. On August 27, 2005, all non-responsive trial participants were sent an e-mail message requesting acknowledgement of their receipt of the informational package and their understanding of the service's E911 limitations. If a participant did not respond to the e-mail, or if Adelphia did not have a participant's e-mail address, it sent an acknowledgment form to the participant's mailing address asking them to verify that the information packet was received and that they understood the E911 limitations of the VoIP service. If a participant did not respond by September 28, 2005, Adelphia implemented a "soft" disconnect that allowed the participant only to place 911/E911 calls or to call Adelphia Customer Service. If a soft disconnect was impossible due to technical limitations, the service was fully disconnected. The soft or full disconnection remained in place until an acknowledgement was received. Adelphia eventually received acknowledgements from all of its trial participants.

As noted, Adelphia's VoIP trial ended on October 11, 2005. Adelphia does not currently provide VoIP service to any customers, including Adelphia employees. Furthermore, Adelphia has no plans to conduct any further VoIP trials or provide any VoIP services in any manner whatsoever in the future.

Adelphia Communications MB Docket No. 05-192 Response to FCC Request V.A

V. Other

A. Restate the pre-transaction and post-transaction Subscriber counts provided in Applicants' Public Interest Statement for Comcast (pp. 73-75) according to the instructions set forth below in the definition of "Subscriber."

Response:

This Request is directed to Comcast; therefore, Adelphia will not provide a response to this Request.

Adelphia Communications MB Docket No. 05-192 Response to FCC Request V.B

V. Other

B. Provide affidavits, one signed by a competent officer of each Applicant, stating, based on the officer's personal knowledge and on penalty of perjury, that the proposed transaction will not result in any violation of the channel occupancy limit, 47 U.S.C. § 613(f)(1)(B), 47 C.F.R. § 76.504.

Response: